arXiv:1610.05913v1 [math.AP] 19 Oct 2016

The sharp lower bound of the lifespan of solutions to semilinear wave equations with low powers in two space dimensions

Takuto Imai *, Masakazu Kato †, Hiroyuki Takamura ‡ and Kyouhei Wakasa †

Dedicated to Professor Nakao Hayashi on the occasion of his sixties birthday

Keywords: semilinear wave equation, initial value problem, lifespan

MSC2010: primary 35L71, secondary 35A01, 35E15

Running head: Semilinear Wave Equations in Two Space Dimensions

Abstract

This paper is devoted to a proof of the conjecture in Takamura [16] on the lower bound of the lifespan of solutions to semilinear wave equations in two space dimensions. The result is divided into two cases according to the total integral of the initial speed.

1 Introduction

We consider the initial value problem,

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u = |u|^p & \text{in} \quad \mathbf{R}^n \times [0,\infty), \\ u(x,0) = \varepsilon f(x),\ u_t(x,0) = \varepsilon g(x), & x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

*The first year of the master course, Graduate School of Systems Information Science, Future University Hakodate, 116-2 Kamedanakano-cho, Hakodate, Hokkaido 041-8655, Japan. e-mail: g2116004@fun.ac.jp.

†College of Liberal Arts, Mathematical Science Research Unit, Muroran Institute of Technology, 27-1, Mizumoto-cho, Muroran, Hokkaido 050-8585, Japan. email: mkato@mmm.muroran-it.ac.jp (Kato), wakasa@mmm.muroran-it.ac.jp (Wakasa).

‡Department of Complex and Intelligent Systems, Faculty of Systems Information Science, Future University Hakodate, 116-2 Kamedanakano-cho, Hakodate, Hokkaido 041-8655, Japan. e-mail: takamura@fun.ac.jp. The third author is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No.15K04964), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

where f and g are given smooth functions of compact support and $\varepsilon > 0$ is "small." Let us define a lifespan $T(\varepsilon)$ of a solution of (1.1) by

$$T(\varepsilon) := \sup\{t > 0 : \exists \text{ a solution } u(x,t) \text{ of (1.1) for arbitrarily fixed } (f,g).\},$$

where "solution" means classical one when $p \geq 2$. When $1 < p < 2$, it means weak one, but sometimes the one given by associated integral equations to (1.1) by standard Strichartz's estimate. See Sideris [14] for instance.

When $n = 1$, we have $T(\varepsilon) < \infty$ for any power $p > 1$ by Kato [8]. When $n \geq 2$, we have the following Strauss' conjecture on (1.1) by Strauss [15].

$$\begin{array}{ll} T(\varepsilon) = \infty & \text{if } p > p_0(n) \text{ and } \varepsilon \text{ is "small"} \quad \text{(global-in-time existence)}, \\ T(\varepsilon) < \infty & \text{if } 1 < p \leq p_0(n) \qquad\qquad\qquad\quad \text{(blow-up in finite time)}, \end{array}$$

where $p_0(n)$ is so-called Strauss' exponent defined by positive root of the quadratic equation, $\gamma(p,n) = 0$, where

$$\gamma(p,n) := 2 + (n+1)p - (n-1)p^2. \tag{1.2}$$

That is,

$$p_0(n) = \frac{n+1+\sqrt{n^2+10n-7}}{2(n-1)}. \tag{1.3}$$

We note that $p_0(n)$ is monotonously decreasing in n. This conjecture had been verified by many authors with partial results. All the references on the final result in each part can be summarized in the following table.

	$p < p_0(n)$	$p = p_0(n)$	$p > p_0(n)$
$n = 2$	Glassey [3]	Schaeffer [13]	Glassey [4]
$n = 3$	John [7]	Schaeffer [13]	John [7]
$n \geq 4$	Sideris [14]	Yordanov & Zhang [19] Zhou [23], indep.	Georgiev & Lindblad & Sogge [2]

In the blow-up case, i.e. $1 < p \leq p_0(n)$, we are interested in the estimate of the lifespan $T(\varepsilon)$. From now on, c and C stand for positive constants but independent of ε. When $n = 1$, we have the following estimate of the lifespan $T(\varepsilon)$ for any $p > 1$.

$$\begin{cases} c\varepsilon^{-(p-1)/2} \leq T(\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)/2} & \text{if } \displaystyle\int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx \neq 0, \\ c\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)/(p+1)} \leq T(\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)/(p+1)} & \text{if } \displaystyle\int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

This result has been obtained by Zhou [20]. Moreover, Lindblad [11] has obtained more precise result for $p = 2$,

$$\begin{cases} \exists \lim_{\varepsilon \to +0} \varepsilon^{1/2} T(\varepsilon) > 0 & \text{if} \quad \displaystyle\int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx \neq 0, \\ \exists \lim_{\varepsilon \to +0} \varepsilon^{2/3} T(\varepsilon) > 0 & \text{if} \quad \displaystyle\int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

Similarly to this, Lindblad [11] has also obtained the following result for $(n, p) = (2, 2)$.

$$\begin{cases} \exists \lim_{\varepsilon \to +0} a(\varepsilon)^{-1} T(\varepsilon) > 0 & \text{if} \quad \displaystyle\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x)dx \neq 0 \\ \exists \lim_{\varepsilon \to +0} \varepsilon T(\varepsilon) > 0 & \text{if} \quad \displaystyle\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x)dx = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

where $a = a(\varepsilon)$ is a number satisfying

$$a^2 \varepsilon^2 \log(1 + a) = 1. \tag{1.7}$$

When $1 < p < p_0(n)$ $(n \geq 3)$ or $2 < p < p_0(2)$ $(n = 2)$, we have the following conjecture.

$$c\varepsilon^{-2p(p-1)/\gamma(p,n)} \leq T(\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^{-2p(p-1)/\gamma(p,n)}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $\gamma(p, n)$ is defined by (1.2). We note that (1.8) coincides with the second line in (1.4) if we define $\gamma(p, n)$ by (1.2) even for $n = 1$. All the results verifying this conjecture are summarized in the following table.

	lower bound of $T(\varepsilon)$	upper bound of $T(\varepsilon)$
$n = 2$	Zhou [22]	Zhou [22]
$n = 3$	Lindblad [11]	Lindblad [11]
$n \geq 4$	Lai & Zhou [10]	Takamura [16]

We note that, for $n = 2, 3$,

$$\exists \lim_{\varepsilon \to +0} \varepsilon^{2p(p-1)/\gamma(p,n)} T(\varepsilon) > 0$$

is established in this table. When $p = p_0(n)$, we have the following conjecture.

$$\exp\left(c\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}\right) \leq T(\varepsilon) \leq \exp\left(C\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}\right). \tag{1.9}$$

All the results verifying this conjecture are also summarized in the following table.

	lower bound of $T(\varepsilon)$	upper bound of $T(\varepsilon)$
$n=2$	Zhou [22]	Zhou [22]
$n=3$	Zhou [21]	Zhou [21]
$n \geq 4$	Lindblad & Sogge [12] : $n \leq 8$ or radially symm. sol.	Takamura & Wakasa [17]

In this paper, we are interested in the case of the open part, $n=2$ and $1<p<2$. There is no conjecture before Remark 4.1 in Takamura [16] in which the following is implicitly described.

$$\begin{cases} \exists \lim_{\varepsilon \to +0} T(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{(p-1)/(3-p)} > 0 & \text{if} \quad \displaystyle\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x)dx \neq 0, \\ \exists \lim_{\varepsilon \to +0} T(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{2p(p-1)/\gamma(p,2)} > 0 & \text{if} \quad \displaystyle\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x)dx = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

Theorem 3.2 and 4.1 in Takamura [16] are the partial result of (1.10), the upper bounds of $T(\varepsilon)$. Our result is devoted to the lower bounds as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $n=2$, $1<p<2$ and $(f,g) \in C_0^3(\mathbf{R}^2) \times C_0^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$. Then, there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(f,g,p,k)$ such that the lifespan $T(\varepsilon)$ of solutions of (1.1) satisfies that*

$$\begin{cases} T(\varepsilon) \geq c\varepsilon^{-(p-1)/(3-p)} & \text{if} \quad \displaystyle\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x)dx \neq 0, \\ T(\varepsilon) \geq c\varepsilon^{-2p(p-1)/\gamma(p,2)} & \text{if} \quad \displaystyle\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x)dx = 0 \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

for $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, where c is a positive constant independent of ε.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we employ the linear decay estimate and basic lemmas for *a priori* estimate. In the third section, we prove *a priori* estimate. The proof of the Theorem 1.1 is in the final section.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we may assume that $(f,g) \in C_0^3(\mathbf{R}^2) \times C_0^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ satisfy

$$\text{supp } (f,g) \subset \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \ : \ |x| \leq k\}, \ k>1. \tag{2.1}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} u_L(x,t) &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} R(f|x,t) + R(g|x,t), \\ R(\phi|x,t) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{\phi(y)}{\sqrt{t^2-|x-y|^2}} dy = \frac{t}{2\pi} \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} \frac{\phi(x+t\xi)}{\sqrt{1-|\xi|^2}} d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Then, we note that u_L satisfies that

$$\begin{cases} (u_L)_{tt} - \Delta u_L = 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{R}^2 \times [0,\infty), \\ u_L(x,0) = f(x),\ (u_L)_t(x,0) = g(x), & x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \end{cases}$$

in the classical sense, and also that

$$\text{supp } u_L \subset \{(x,t) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \times [0,\infty)\ :\ |x| \le t + k\}. \tag{2.3}$$

We shall employ the following key lemma.

Lemma 2.1 (Lindblad [11]) *Let u_L be the one in (2.2). Then, there exist positive constants $C_0 = C_0(\|f\|_{W^{3,1}(\mathbf{R}^2)}, \|g\|_{W^{2,1}(\mathbf{R}^2)}, k)$ and $\widetilde{C_0} = \widetilde{C_0}(k)$ such that u_L satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha|\le 1} |\nabla_x^\alpha u_L(x,t)| \le\ & \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x)dx \right| \cdot \frac{\widetilde{C_0}}{(t+|x|+2k)^{1/2}(t-|x|+2k)^{1/2}} \\ & + \frac{C_0}{(t+|x|+2k)^{1/2}(t-|x|+2k)^{3/2}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0,\infty)$.

Remark 2.1 *This is not exactly Lemma 7.1 in [11], but is basically its refined version. For the sake of completeness, we prove it.*

Proof of Lemma 2.1. First we note that it is suffice to show (2.4) for $\alpha = 0$ because ∇_x^α passes to the integrand in the representation (2.2). Moreover, due to von Wahl [18], or Klainerman [9] as described in Glassey [4], we have that

$$|u_L(x,t)| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{1+t}} \left(\|f\|_{W^{2,1}(\mathbf{R}^2)} + \|g\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^2)} \right) \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^2 \times [0,\infty),$$

where C is a positive constant independent of f and g. Therefore (2.4) is obtained by (2.3) for $-k \le t - |x| \le 2k$, or $t \le 4k$.

From now on, we are concentrated in the case of $\alpha = 0$, $t - |x| \ge 2k$ and $t \ge 4k$. Set $r := |x|$. First we prove (2.4) in the interior domain,

$$D_{\text{int}} := \{(x,t) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \times [0,\infty)\ :\ t \ge 2r,\ t \ge 4k\}.$$

Since

$$|x-y| \le r + |y| \le \frac{t}{2} + k \le t \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in D_{\text{int}} \text{ and } |y| \le k,$$

we can rewrite $R(g|x,t)$ in (2.2) as

$$R(g|x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \frac{g(y)}{\sqrt{t^2-|x-y|^2}}dy \quad \text{in } D_{\text{int}}.$$

We shall consider the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|2\pi R(g|x,t) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t+r)(t-r)}}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(y)dy\right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t+r)(t-r)}}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \frac{|h(x,y,t)|}{\sqrt{t^2-|x-y|^2}}|g(y)|dy, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$h(x,y,t) := \sqrt{(t+r)(t-r)} - \sqrt{t^2-|x-y|^2}.$$

Making use of Taylor expansion in y at the origin, we get

$$h(x,y,t) = \frac{- < x,y > +\theta|y|^2}{\sqrt{t^2-|x-\theta y|^2}} \quad \text{with } 0<\theta<1.$$

It follows from

$$|- < x,y > +\theta|y|^2| \leq (t/2+k)k$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &t-|x-\theta y| \geq t-(r+|y|) \geq t-r-k \ \geq t/2-k \geq t/4 \\ &t+|x-\theta y| \geq t, \end{aligned}$$

for $(x,t) \in D_{\text{int}}$ and $|y| \leq k$ that

$$|h(x,y,t)| \leq (1+2k/t)k \leq 2k \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in D_{\text{int}} \text{ and } |y| \leq k.$$

Thus, the right hand-side of (2.5) is dominated by

$$\frac{2k}{\sqrt{(t+r)(t-r)}}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \frac{|g(y)|dy}{\sqrt{t^2-|x-y|^2}}.$$

Similarly to the above, it follows from

$$\begin{aligned} &t-|x-y| \geq t-r-k \geq t-r/2-r/2-t/4 \geq (t-r)/2, \\ &t+|x-y| \geq t \geq t/2+r \geq (t+r)/2, \end{aligned}$$

for $(x,t) \in D_{\text{int}}$ and $|y| \leq k$ that

$$\left|2\pi R(g|x,t) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t+r)(t-r)}}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(y)dy\right| \leq \frac{4k\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^2)}}{(t+r)(t-r)}$$

in $D_{\rm int}$. We also obtain that

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}R(f|x,t)\right| \le \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\frac{t|f(y)|}{(t^2-|x-y|^2)^{3/2}}dy \le \frac{4\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^2)}}{\pi\sqrt{t+r}(t-r)^{3/2}}$$

in $D_{\rm int}$. Since $3(t-r) \ge t+r$ holds in $D_{\rm int}$, summing up all the estimates, we have the desired estimate

$$|u_L(x,t)| \le \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{(t+r)(t-r)}}\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(y)dy\right| + \frac{4\sqrt{3}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^2)} + 2k\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^2)}}{\pi(t+r)(t-r)}$$

in $D_{\rm int}$.

Next we prove (2.4) in the exterior domain,

$$D_{\rm ext} := \{(x,t) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \times [0,\infty) \ : \ r+2k \le t \le 2r\}.$$

Here we employ the different representation formula from (2.2),

$$R(g|x,t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi\sqrt{r}}\int_{\rho-\rho^2 z/2}^{\infty}\frac{ds}{\sqrt{s-\rho+\rho^2 z/2}}\int_{s=<\omega,y>-|y|^2z/2} g(y)dS_y,$$

where $\omega := x/r \in S^1$, $\rho := r-t$ and $z := 1/r$. This is established by (6.2.4) in Hörmander [5]. Due to $z \le 1/(2k)$ in $D_{\rm ext}$ and $|y| \le k$ by (2.1), we get

$$|s| \le |y| + \frac{|y|^2 z}{2} \le k + \frac{k^2}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{2k} = \frac{5}{4}k.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\sqrt{2}\pi\sqrt{r}R(g|x,t) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\rho+\rho^2 z/2}}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x)dx \\
&= \int_{-5k/4}^{5k/4}\frac{ds}{\sqrt{s-\rho+\rho^2 z/2}}\int_{s=<\omega,y>-|y|^2z/2} g(y)dS_y \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\rho+\rho^2 z/2}}\int_{-5k/4}^{5k/4} ds\int_{s=<\omega,y>-|y|^2z/2} g(y)dS_y.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{s-\rho+\rho^2 z/2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\rho+\rho^2 z/2}}\right| = \left|\frac{\sqrt{-\rho+\rho^2 z/2}-\sqrt{s-\rho+\rho^2 z/2}}{\sqrt{(s-\rho+\rho^2 z/2)(-\rho+\rho^2 z/2)}}\right| \\
&\le \frac{|s|}{\sqrt{(s+|\rho|)|\rho|}(\sqrt{-\rho+\rho^2 z/2}+\sqrt{s-\rho+\rho^2 z/2})} \le \frac{|s|}{|\rho|\sqrt{(s+|\rho|)}}
\end{aligned}$$

hold, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|2\sqrt{2}\pi\sqrt{r}R(g|x,t) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\rho+\rho^2 z/2}}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x)dx\right| \\
&\le \frac{5k}{4|\rho|\sqrt{(|\rho|-5k/4)}}\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that $\rho \le -2k$ in $D_{\rm ext}$. Moreover, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\left(2\sqrt{2}\pi\sqrt{r}R(f|x,t)\right)\right| \\
&= \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\left(\int_{-5k/4}^{5k/4}\frac{ds}{\sqrt{s-\rho+\rho^2 z/2}}\int_{s=<\omega,y>-|y|^2z/2} f(y)dS_y\right)\right| \\
&= \left|\int_{-5k/4}^{5k/4}\frac{1-\rho z}{2(s-\rho+|\rho|^2z/2)^{3/2}}ds\int_{s=<\omega,y>-|y|^2z/2} f(y)dS_y\right| \\
&\le \frac{1+|\rho|z}{(|\rho|-5k/4)^{3/2}}\int_{-5k/4}^{5k/4}ds\int_{s=<\omega,y>-|y|^2z/2}|f(y)|dS_y.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows from $|\rho|z = (t-r)/r \le 2$ for $(x,t) \in D_{ext}$ that

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\left(2\sqrt{2}\pi\sqrt{r}R(f|x,t)\right)\right| \le \frac{3}{(|\rho|-5k/4)^{3/2}}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

Summing up all the estimates and noticing that $\partial/\partial t = -\partial/\partial\rho$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|r^{1/2}u_L(x,t) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi\sqrt{|\rho|+\rho^2z/2}}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}g(x)dx\right| \\
&\le \frac{5k/4\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^2)}+3\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^2)}}{2\sqrt{2}\pi(|\rho|-5k/4)^{3/2}}
\end{aligned}$$

in $D_{\rm ext}$. It is trivial that (2.4) in $D_{\rm ext}$ follows from this inequality. The proof is now completed. □

In what follows, we consider the following integral equations:

$$u(x,t) = u^0(x,t) + L(F)(x,t) \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in \mathbf{R}^2\times[0,\infty), \tag{2.6}$$

where we set $u^0 := \varepsilon u_L$ and

$$L(F)(x,t) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^t(t-\tau)\int_{|\xi|\le1}\frac{F(x+(t-\tau)\xi,\tau)}{\sqrt{1-|\xi|^2}}d\xi d\tau \tag{2.7}$$

for $F \in C(\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,\infty))$. We note that u in (2.7) solves

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}-\Delta u = F & \text{in } \mathbf{R}^2\times[0,\infty), \\ u(x,0)=\varepsilon f(x),\ u_t(x,0)=\varepsilon g(x), & x\in\mathbf{R}^2 \end{cases}$$

when $F \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,\infty))$. Next two lemmas are useful to handle the radially symmetric functions.

Lemma 2.2 (John [6]) *Let $b \in C([0,\infty))$. Then, the identity*

$$\int_{|\omega|=1} b(|x+\rho\omega|)dS_\omega = 4\int_{|\rho-r|}^{\rho+r} \lambda h(\lambda,\rho,r)b(\lambda)d\lambda \tag{2.8}$$

holds for $x \in \mathbf{R}^2$, $r = |x|$ and $\rho > 0$, where h is defined by

$$h(\lambda,\rho,r) := \{(\lambda+r)^2-\rho^2\}^{-1/2}\{\rho^2-(\lambda-r)^2\}^{-1/2}. \tag{2.9}$$

See [6] for the proof of this lemma.

Lemma 2.3 (Agemi and Takamura [1]) *Let L be a linear integral operator defined by (2.7) and $\Psi = \Psi(|x|,t) \in C([0,\infty)^2)$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^2$. Then we have that*

$$L(\Psi)(x,t) = L_1(\Psi)(r,t) + L_2(\Psi)(r,t),\ r = |x|, \tag{2.10}$$

where $L_i(\Psi)$ $(i=1,2)$ are defined by

$$L_1(\Psi)(r,t) := \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^t d\tau \int_{|t-r-\tau|}^{t+r-\tau} \lambda\Psi(\lambda,\tau)d\lambda \int_{|\lambda-r|}^{t-\tau} \frac{\rho h(\lambda,\rho,r)}{\sqrt{(t-\tau)^2-\rho^2}}d\rho, \tag{2.11}$$

$$L_2(\Psi)(r,t) := \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{(t-r)_+} d\tau \int_0^{t-r-\tau} \lambda\Psi(\lambda,\tau)d\lambda \int_{|\lambda-r|}^{\lambda+r} \frac{\rho h(\lambda,\rho,r)}{\sqrt{(t-\tau)^2-\rho^2}}d\rho, \tag{2.12}$$

where $a_+ = \max\{a,0\}$. Moreover, the following estimates hold in $[0,\infty)^2$:

$$|L_1(\Psi)(r,t)| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2r}}\int_0^t d\tau \int_{|r-t+\tau|}^{r+t-\tau} \frac{\lambda|\Psi(\lambda,\tau)|d\lambda}{\sqrt{\tau+\lambda-t+r}}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$|L_2(\Psi)(r,t)| \le \int_0^{(t-r)_+} d\tau \int_0^{t-r-\tau} \frac{\lambda|\Psi(\lambda,\tau)|d\lambda}{\sqrt{t-r+\lambda-\tau}\sqrt{t-r-\tau-\lambda}}. \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. For the sake of completeness, we prove this lemma. Changing variables by $y-x = (t-\tau)\xi$ in (2.7), we obtain that

$$L(\Psi)(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^t d\tau \int_{|y-x|\le t-\tau} \frac{\Psi(|y|,\tau)}{\sqrt{(t-\tau)^2-|y-x|^2}}dy.$$

Introducing polar coordinates, we have that

$$L(\Psi)(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^t d\tau \int_0^{t-\tau} \frac{\rho d\rho}{\sqrt{(t-\tau)^2-\rho^2}} \int_{|\omega|=1} \Psi(|x+\rho\omega|,\tau)dS_\omega.$$

Thus Lemma 2.2 yields that

$$\begin{aligned} L(\Psi)(x,t) &= \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^t d\tau \int_0^{t-\tau} \frac{\rho d\rho}{\sqrt{(t-\tau)^2-\rho^2}} \times \\ &\quad \times \int_{|\rho-r|}^{\rho+r} \lambda \Psi(\lambda,\tau) h(\lambda,\rho,r) d\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Therefore, (2.10) follows from inverting the order of (ρ,λ)-integral in (2.15).

(2.13) and (2.14) are established in the following way. Note that

$$\lambda + r - \rho \geq \lambda + r - t + \tau, \quad \lambda + r + \rho \geq \lambda + r + |\lambda - r| \geq 2r \tag{2.16}$$

for $\rho \leq t - \tau$ and $\rho \geq |\lambda - r|$. It is easy to see that

$$\int_a^b \frac{\rho d\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - a^2}\sqrt{b^2 - \rho^2}} = \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ for } 0 \leq a < b. \tag{2.17}$$

Hence (2.13) follows from (2.16) and (2.17) with $a = |\lambda - r|$ and $b = t - \tau$. Next let $t > r$. Since we have that

$$t - \tau - \rho \geq t - \tau - \lambda - r \tag{2.18}$$

for $\rho \leq \lambda + r$ and that

$$t - \tau + \rho \geq t - \tau + |\lambda - r| \geq t - r - \tau + \lambda \tag{2.19}$$

for $\rho \geq |\lambda - r|$, $\lambda \leq t - r - \tau$, we obtain (2.14) by (2.18), (2.19) and (2.17) with $a = |\lambda - r|$ and $b = \lambda + r$. □

3 A priori estimate

In this section, we show *a priori* estimate which plays a key role in the classical iteration method as in John [7]. First of all, we define some weighted L^∞ norm.

For $r, t \geq 0$, we define the following weighted functions:

$$w_1(r,t) := \tau_+(r,t)^{1/2}\tau_-(r,t)^{1/2}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$w_2(r,t) := \tau_+(r,t)^{1/2}\tau_-(r,t)^{3/2}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$w_3(r,t) := \tau_+(r,t)^{p/2-1}, \tag{3.3}$$

where we set

$$\tau_+(r,t) := \frac{t+r+2k}{k}, \quad \tau_-(r,t) := \frac{t-r+2k}{k}.$$

For this weighted functions, we denote a weighted L^∞ norm of V by

$$\|V\|_i := \sup_{(x,t)\in \mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]} \{w_i(|x|,t)|V(x,t)|\}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The following lemma is one of the most essential estimates.

Lemma 3.1 *Let L be the linear integral operator defined by* (2.7). *Assume that $V \in C(\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T])$ with* $\operatorname{supp} V \subset \{(x,t) \in \mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T] : |x| \le t+k\}$ *and $\|V\|_i < \infty$ $(i = 1, 3)$. Then, there exists a positive constant C_1 independent of k and T such that*

$$\|L(|V|^p)\|_1 \le C_1 k^2 \|V\|_1^p D_1(T), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\|L(|V|^p)\|_3 \le C_1 k^2 \|V\|_3^p D_2(T), \tag{3.6}$$

where $D_i(T)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ are defined by

$$D_1(T) := \left(\frac{2T+3k}{k}\right)^{3-p}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$D_2(T) := \left(\frac{2T+3k}{k}\right)^{\gamma(p,2)/2}. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. The proof is divided into two pieces according to (3.5) and (3.6). From now on, a positive constant C independent of ε and k may change from line to line.

Estimate in (3.5). It is clear that (3.5) follows from the following basic estimates:

$$L(w_1^{-p}) \le Ck^2 w_1(r,t)^{-1} D_1(T). \tag{3.9}$$

First we shall show a part of (3.9),

$$L_1(w_1^{-p}) \le Ck^2 w_1(r,t)^{-1} D_1(T).$$

Introducing the characteristic variables

$$\alpha = \tau + \lambda, \quad \beta = \tau - \lambda \tag{3.10}$$

in the integral of (2.13), we get

$$|L_1(\Psi)| \ \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{r}} \int_{|t-r|}^{t+r} d\alpha \int_{-k}^{t-r} \left|\Psi\left(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}, \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right)\right| \frac{(\alpha-\beta)}{\sqrt{\alpha-t+r}} d\beta.$$

Setting $\Psi(\lambda,\tau)=\{w_1(\lambda,\tau)\}^{-p}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(w_1^{-p}) &\le \frac{Ck}{\sqrt{r}}\int_{t-r}^{t+r}\left(\frac{\alpha+2k}{k}\right)^{1-p/2}\frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha-t+r}}\int_{-k}^{t-r}\left(\frac{\beta+2k}{k}\right)^{-p/2}d\beta \\ &\le Ck^2\tau_+(r,t)^{1-p/2}\tau_+(r,t)^{1-p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, recalling (3.1) and (3.7), we get

$$L_1(w_1^{-p}) \le Ck^2 w_1(r,t)^{-1}\tau_+(r,t)^{3-p} \le Ck^2 w_1(r,t)^{-1}D_1(T).$$

Next we shall show the rest part of (3.9),

$$L_2(w_1^{-p}) \le Ck^2 w_1(r,t)^{-1}D_1(T) \quad \text{for } t-r\ge 0.$$

Introducing the characteristic variables (3.10) in the integral of (2.14), we get

$$|L_2(\Psi)| \le C\int_0^{t-r}d\alpha\int_{-k}^{t-r}\left|\Psi\left(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2},\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right)\right|\frac{(\alpha-\beta)}{\sqrt{t-r-\alpha}}\frac{d\beta}{\sqrt{t-r-\beta}}.$$

Setting $\Psi(\lambda,\tau)=\{w_1(\lambda,\tau)\}^{-p}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(w_1^{-p}) &\le Ck\int_0^{t-r}\left(\frac{\alpha+2k}{k}\right)^{1-p/2}\frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{t-r-\alpha}}\times \\ &\qquad\times\int_{-k}^{t-r}\left(\frac{\beta+2k}{k}\right)^{-p/2}\frac{d\beta}{\sqrt{t-r-\beta}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

First we consider the case of $t-r\ge k$. Then, we get

$$L_2(w_1^{-p}) \le Ck\tau_+(r,t)^{1-p/2}\{J_1(r,t)+J_2(r,t)\}, \tag{3.12}$$

where we set

$$J_1(r,t) := \int_0^{t-r}\frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{t-r-\alpha}}\int_{-k}^{(t-r-k)/2}\left(\frac{\beta+2k}{k}\right)^{-p/2}\frac{d\beta}{\sqrt{t-r-\beta}},$$

$$J_2(r,t) := \int_0^{t-r}\frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{t-r-\alpha}}\int_{(t-r-k)/2}^{t-r}\left(\frac{\beta+2k}{k}\right)^{-p/2}\frac{d\beta}{\sqrt{t-r-\beta}}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(r,t) &\le \frac{C}{\sqrt{t-r+k}}\int_0^{t-r}\frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{t-r-\alpha}}\int_{-k}^{t-r}\left(\frac{\beta+2k}{k}\right)^{-p/2}d\beta \\ &\le Ck\tau_+(r,t)^{1-p/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

On the other hand, it follows from $1-p/2>0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(r,t) &\le C\tau_-(r,t)^{-p/2}\int_0^{t-r}\frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{t-r-\alpha}}\int_{(t-r-k)/2}^{t-r}\frac{d\beta}{\sqrt{t-r-\beta}} \\ &\le Ck\tau_-(r,t)^{1-p/2}\le Ck\tau_+(r,t)^{1-p/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Hence (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) yield that

$$L_2(w_1^{-p})\le Ck^2\tau_+(r,t)^{2-p}\le Ck^2w_1(r,t)^{-1}D_1(T)$$

for $t-r\ge k$. In the case of $0<t-r\le k$, (3.11) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(w_1^{-p}) &\le Ck\int_0^{t-r}\left(\frac{\alpha+2k}{k}\right)^{-p/2}\frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{t-r-\alpha}}\times \\ &\quad\times\int_{-k}^{t-r}\left(\frac{\beta+2k}{k}\right)^{-p/2}\frac{d\beta}{\sqrt{t-r-\beta}} \\ &\le Ck^2 = Ck^2w_1(r,t)^{-1}w_1(r,t)\le Ck^2w_1(r,t)^{-1}D_1(T). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (3.9) is now established.

Estimate in (3.6). Similarly to the above, we note that (3.6) follows from the basic estimates:

$$L(w_3^{-p})\le Ck^2w_3(r,t)^{-1}D_2(T). \tag{3.15}$$

Setting $\Psi(\lambda,\tau)=\{w_3(\lambda,\tau)\}^{-p}$ in (2.13) and introducing (3.10) in the integral of (2.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(w_3^{-p}) &\le \frac{Ck}{\sqrt{r}}\int_{|t-r|}^{t+r}\left(\frac{\alpha+2k}{k}\right)^{1+(1-p/2)p}\frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha-t+r}}\int_{-k}^{t-r}d\beta \\ &\le Ck^2\tau_+(r,t)^{(1-p/2)p+1}\tau_-(r,t)\le Ck^2\tau_+(r,t)^{1-p/2+\gamma(2,p)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that a part of (3.15),

$$L_1(w_3^{-p})\le Ck^2w_3(r,t)^{-1}D_2(T).$$

Now, let $t-r>0$. Setting $\Psi(\lambda,\tau)=\{w_3(\lambda,\tau)\}^{-p}$ in (2.14) and introducing (3.10) in the integral of (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(w_3^{-p}) &\le Ck\int_0^{t-r}\left(\frac{\alpha+2k}{k}\right)^{1+(1-p/2)p}\frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{t-r-\alpha}}\int_{-k}^{t-r}\frac{d\beta}{\sqrt{t-r-\beta}} \\ &\le Ck\tau_+(r,t)^{(1-p/2)p+1}\int_0^{t-r}\frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{t-r-\alpha}}\int_{-k}^{t-r}\frac{d\beta}{\sqrt{t-r-\beta}} \\ &\le Ck^2\tau_+(r,t)^{(1-p/2)p+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have that

$$L_2(w_3^{-p}) \le Ck^2 w_3(r,t)^{-1} D_2(T)$$

for $t-r \ge 0$ which yields (3.15). The proof of Lemma 3.1 is completed. □

In order to construct a solution in our weighted L^∞ space, the following variant to the a priori estimate is required.

Lemma 3.2 *Let L be the linear integral operator defined by* (2.7) *and $0 \le \nu < p$. Assume that $V, V_0 \in C(\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0,T])$ with* supp $(V, V_0) \subset \{(x,t) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \times [0,T] : |x| \le t+k\}$, *and $\|V_0\|_2, \|V\|_3 < \infty$. Then, there exists a positive constant C_2 independent of k such that*

$$\|L(|V_0|^{p-\nu}|V|^\nu)\|_3 \le C_2 k^2 \|V_0\|_2^{p-\nu} \|V\|_3^\nu D_{3,\nu}(T), \tag{3.16}$$

where $D_{3,\nu}(T)$ are defined by

$$D_{3,\nu}(T) := \begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{2T+3k}{k}\right)^{\nu(3-p)/2} & \text{if} \quad p > \nu + \dfrac{2}{3}, \\ \log \dfrac{2T+3k}{k} \left(\dfrac{2T+3k}{k}\right)^{(7/3-\nu)\nu/2} & \text{if} \quad p = \nu + \dfrac{2}{3}, \\ \left(\dfrac{2T+3k}{k}\right)^{1-3p/2+(3-p/2)\nu} & \text{if} \quad p < \dfrac{2}{3} + \nu. \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.1, we note that (3.16) follows from

$$L(w_2^{-(p-\nu)} w_3^{-\nu}) \le Ck^2 w_3(r,t)^{-1} D_{3,\nu}(T). \tag{3.18}$$

A part of this estimate is

$$L_1(\tau_+^{-(p-\nu)/2+(1-p/2)\nu} \tau_-^{-3(p-\nu)/2}) \le Ck^2 w_3(r,t)^{-1} D_{3,\nu}(T). \tag{3.19}$$

Setting

$$\Psi(\lambda,\tau) = \tau_+^{-(p-\nu)/2+(1-p/2)\nu} \tau_-^{-3(p-\nu)/2} \tag{3.20}$$

in (2.13) and introducing (3.10) in the integral in (2.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &L_1(\tau_+^{-(p-\nu)/2+(1-p/2)\nu} \tau_-^{-3(p-\nu)/2}) \\ &\le \frac{Ck}{\sqrt{r}} \int_{|t-r|}^{t+r} \left(\frac{\alpha+2k}{k}\right)^{1-(p-\nu)/2+(1-p/2)\nu} \frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha-t+r}} \times \\ &\quad \times \int_{-k}^{t-r} \left(\frac{\beta+2k}{k}\right)^{-3(p-\nu)/2} d\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Note that

$$\int_{-k}^{t-r}\left(\frac{\beta+2k}{k}\right)^{-3(p-\nu)/2}d\beta\le\begin{cases}Ck & \text{if } p>\nu+2/3,\\ Ck\log\tau_-(r,t) & \text{if } p=\nu+2/3,\\ Ck\tau_-(r,t)^{1-3(p-\nu)/2} & \text{if } p<\nu+2/3\end{cases}\tag{3.22}$$

and that the α-integral in (3.21) is estimated by

$$Ck\sqrt{r}\tau_+(r,t)^{1-(p-\nu)/2+(1-p/2)\nu}.$$

Therefore (3.19) is follows from $\tau_+^{1-(p-\nu)/2+(1-p/2)\nu}\le w_3^{-1}\tau_+^{(3-p)\nu/2}$.

Next, we shall show the rest part of (3.18),

$$L_2(\tau_+^{-(p-\nu)/2+(1-p/2)\nu}\tau_-^{-3(p-\nu)/2})\le Ck^2w_3(r,t)^{-1}D_{3,\nu}(T).\tag{3.23}$$

Setting $\Psi(\lambda,\tau)$ such as (3.20) in (2.14) and introducing (3.10) in the integral of (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&L_2(\tau_+^{-(p-\nu)/2+(1-p/2)\nu}\tau_-^{-3(p-\nu)/2})\\ &\le Ck\int_0^{t-r}\left(\frac{\alpha+2k}{k}\right)^{1-(p-\nu)/2+(1-p/2)\nu}\frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{t-r-\alpha}}\times\\ &\quad\times\int_{-k}^{t-r}\left(\frac{\beta+2k}{k}\right)^{-3(p-\nu)/2}\frac{d\beta}{\sqrt{t-r-\beta}}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

First we consider the case of $t-r\ge k$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&L_2(\tau_+^{-(p-\nu)/2+(1-p/2)\nu}\tau_-^{-3(p-\nu)/2})\\ &\le Ckw_3(r,t)^{-1}\tau_+(r,t)^{(3-p)\nu/2}\{K_1(r,t)+K_2(r,t)\},\end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$K_1(r,t):=\int_0^{t-r}\frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{t-r-\alpha}}\int_{-k}^{(t-r-k)/2}\left(\frac{\beta+2k}{k}\right)^{-3(p-\nu)/2}\frac{d\beta}{\sqrt{t-r-\beta}},$$

$$K_2(r,t):=\int_0^{t-r}\frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{t-r-\alpha}}\int_{(t-r-k)/2}^{t-r}\left(\frac{\beta+2k}{k}\right)^{-3(p-\nu)/2}\frac{d\beta}{\sqrt{t-r-\beta}}.$$

It follows from (3.22) that

$$K_1(r,t)\le C(t-r+k)^{-1/2}\int_0^{t-r}\frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{t-r-\alpha}}\int_{-k}^{t-r}\left(\frac{\beta+2k}{k}\right)^{-3(p-\nu)/2}d\beta.$$

Hence (3.23) for $t-r \geq k$ is established by (3.22). Moreover it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} K_2(r,t) &\leq C\tau_-(r,t)^{-3(p-\nu)/2} \int_0^{t-r} \frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{t-r-\alpha}} \int_{(t-r-k)/2}^{t-r} \frac{d\beta}{\sqrt{t-r-\beta}} \\ &\leq Ck\tau_-(r,t)^{1-3(p-\nu)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.23) for $t-r \geq k$ is established. In the case of $0 \leq t-r \leq k$, (3.24) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} &L_2(\tau_+^{-(p-\nu)/2+(1-p/2)\nu}\tau_-^{-3(p-\nu)/2}) \\ &\leq Ck \int_0^{t-r} \left(\frac{\alpha+2k}{k}\right)^{1-(p-\nu)/2+(1-p/2)\nu} \frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{t-r-\alpha}} \times \\ &\quad \times \int_{-k}^{t-r} \left(\frac{\beta+2k}{k}\right)^{-3(p-\nu)/2} \frac{d\beta}{\sqrt{t-r-\beta}} \\ &\leq Ck^2 = Ck^2 w_3(r,t)^{-1} w_3(r,t) \leq Ck^2 w_3(r,t)^{-1} D_{3,\nu}(T). \end{aligned}$$

This gives us (3.23) for $0 \leq t-r \leq k$ which leads to (3.18). The proof of Lemma 3.2 is completed. □

In order to pick up the sufficient condition to construct a solution in our weighted L^∞ space, we need the following lemma on comparison among the quantities on T.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $1 < p < 2$ and let $D_2(T)$ and $D_{3,\nu}(T)$ are the one in (3.8) and (3.17). Then we have*

$$D_{3,1}(T) \leq D_2(T)^{1/p}, \tag{3.25}$$

$$D_{3,p-1}(T) \leq D_2(T)^{(p-1)/(p+1)}, \tag{3.26}$$

$$D_{3,0}(T) = 1. \tag{3.27}$$

Proof. All the estimates follows from direct computations. When $p > 5/3$, we have that $(3-p)p/2 < \gamma(p,2)/2$ which yields (3.25). When $p = 5/3$, we have that $(7/3-\nu)\nu/2 = (3-p)\nu/2$ for $p = \nu + 2/3$, so that it is sufficient to show that $p\{\delta + (3-p)/2\} < \gamma(p,2)/2$ holds for suitable $\delta > 0$ to get (3.25). This can be guaranteed by taking $0 < \delta < 1/p$. When $1 < p < 5/3$, we have that $p(4-2p) < \gamma(p,2)/2$ holds. Therefore (3.25) is established in all the cases.

(3.26) follows from $(p+1)(3-p)/2 < \gamma(p,2)/2$ which is equivalent to $p > 1$. (3.27) is trivial by definition of $D_{3,\nu}(T)$. The proof is now completed. □

4 Lower bound of the lifespan

First, we shall show the estimate for (1.11) in the case of $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x)dx = 0$. We consider the following integral equation:

$$U = L(|u^0 + U|^p) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, T] \tag{4.1}$$

where L and u^0 are defined in (2.6). Suppose we obtain the solution $U(x,t)$ of (4.1). Then, putting $u = U + u^0$, we get the solution of (2.6) and its life span is the same as that of U. Thus we have reduced the problem to the analysis for (4.1). In view of (2.6) and (2.7), we note that $\partial U/\partial t$ can be expressed in $\nabla_x U$. Hence we consider spatial derivatives of U only.

We define U_l by

$$U_1 = 0, \quad U_l = L(|u^0 + U_{l-1}|^p) \quad \text{for} \quad l \geq 2. \tag{4.2}$$

We take ε and T such that

$$C\varepsilon^{p(p-1)} D_2(T) \leq 1 \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$C := (2^{2p}p)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \max\{C_1 k^2 M_0^{p-1}, (C_2 k^2 C_0^{p-1})^p, (C_2 k^2 M_0^{p-2} C_0)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\}, \tag{4.4}$$

$$M_0 := 2^p p C_3 k^2 C_0^p \tag{4.5}$$

and $C_3 := \max\{C_1, C_2\}$.

In order to get a C^1 solution of (4.1), we shall show the convergence of $\{U_l\}_{l \in \mathbf{N}}$ in a function space X defined by

$$X := \{U(x,t) \ : \ \nabla_x^\alpha U \in C(\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0,T]) \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq 1, \ \|U\|_X < \infty, \\ \text{supp } U \subset \{(x,t) : |x| \leq t + k\}\}$$

which equips a norm

$$\|U\|_X = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \|\nabla_x^\alpha U\|_3.$$

We see that X is a Banach space for any fixed $T > 0$. It follows the definition of the norm (3.4) that there exists a positive constant C_T depending on T such that

$$\|U\|_3 \geq C_T |U(x,t)|, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

By induction, we shall obtain

$$\|U_l\|_3 \le 2M_0\varepsilon^p. \tag{4.6}$$

For $l = 1$, (4.6) holds. Assume that $\|U_{l-1}\|_3 \le 2M_0\varepsilon^p$ $(l \ge 2)$. Since

$$|a+b|^p \le 2^{p-1}(|a|^p + |b|^p) \quad \text{for } p > 1 \text{ and } \ a, b \in \mathbf{R},$$

we get from (4.2)

$$\|U_l\|_3 \le 2^{p-1}\{\|L(|u^0|^p)\|_3 + \|L(|U_{l-1}|^p)\|_3\}. \tag{4.7}$$

Making use of (3.16) with $\nu = 0$, (3.27) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(|u^0|^p)\|_3 &\le C_2k^2\|u^0\|_2^p \\ &\le C_2k^2C_0^p\varepsilon^p, \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where we used (2.4) with $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x)dx = 0$, (3.2) and (3.4). We see from (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(|U_{l-1}|^p)\|_3 &\le C_1k^2\|U_{l-1}\|_3^pD_2(T) \\ &\le C_1k^2(2M_0\varepsilon^p)^pD_2(T). \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Summarizing (4.7), (4.8), (4.9) and (4.5), we get

$$\|U_l\|_3 \le M_0\varepsilon^p + 2^{p-1}C_1k^2(2M_0\varepsilon^p)^pD_2(T). \tag{4.10}$$

This inequality shows (4.6) provided (4.3) and (4.4).

We shall estimate the differences of $\{U_l\}_{l\in\mathbf{N}}$. Since

$$||a|^p - |b|^p| \le p(|a|^{p-1} + |b|^{p-1})|a-b| \quad \text{for } p > 1,$$

we obtain from (4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_{l+1} - U_l\|_3 \le 2^{p-1}p\{&2\|L(|u^0|^{p-1}|U_l - U_{l-1}|)\|_3 \\ &+ \|L((|U_l|^{p-1} + |U_{l-1}|^{p-1})|U_l - U_{l-1}|)\|_3\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

From (3.16) with $\nu = 1$, (3.25) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(|u^0|^{p-1}|U_l - U_{l-1}|)\|_3 &\le C_2k^2\|u^0\|_2^{p-1}\|U_l - U_{l-1}\|_3D_2(T)^{1/p} \\ &\le C_2k^2(C_0\varepsilon)^{p-1}D_2(T)^{1/p}\|U_l - U_{l-1}\|_3. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

We get from (3.6) and (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned}&\|L((|U_l|^{p-1}+|U_{l-1}|^{p-1})|U_l-U_{l-1}|)\|_3\\ \le& C_1k^2D_2(T)(\|U_l\|_3^{p-1}+\|U_l\|_3^{p-1})\|U_l-U_{l-1}\|_3\\ \le& 2C_1k^2D_2(T)(2M_0\varepsilon^p)^{p-1}\|U_l-U_{l-1}\|_3. \end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

Hence, we obtain from (4.11), (4.12) and (4.13)

$$\|U_{l+1}-U_l\|_3 \le \frac{1}{2}\|U_l-U_{l-1}\|_3$$

provided (4.3) and (4.4). Therefore we have

$$\|U_{l+1}-U_l\|_3 \le 2^{-l}C_4 \quad \text{for} \quad l \ge 1. \tag{4.14}$$

Next, by induction, we shall show the following boundedness of $\{\partial_i U_l\}$.

$$\|\partial_i U_l\|_3 \le 2M_0\varepsilon^p. \tag{4.15}$$

Assume that $\|U_{l-1}\|_3 \le 2M_0\varepsilon^p \ \ (l \ge 1)$. From (4.2), we have

$$\|\partial_i U_l\| \le 2^{p-1}p\|L\{(|u^0|^{p-1}+|U_{l-1}|^{p-1})(|\partial_i u^0|+|\partial_i U_{l-1}|)\}\|_3. \tag{4.16}$$

By using Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we shall show

$$\|L(|u^0|^{p-1}|\partial_i u^0|)\|_3 \le C_2k^2(C_0\varepsilon)^p, \tag{4.17}$$

$$\|L(|U_{l-1}|^{p-1}|\partial_i u^0|)\|_3 \le C_2k^2(2M_0\varepsilon^p)^{p-1}C_0\varepsilon D_2(T)^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}}, \tag{4.18}$$

$$\|L(|u^0|^{p-1}|\partial_i U_{l-1}|)\|_3 \le C_2k^2(C_0\varepsilon)^{p-1}D_2(T)^{1/p}(2M_0\varepsilon^p). \tag{4.19}$$

We shall prove only (4.17), since we can prove (4.18) and (4.19) in a similar way. It follows from (3.16) with $\nu = 0$, (3.27) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|L(|u^0|^{p-1}|\partial_i u^0|)\|_3 &\le C_2k^2\|u^0\|_2^{p-1}\|\partial_i u^0\|_2\\ &\le C_2k^2(C_0\varepsilon)^p.\end{aligned}$$

We have from (3.6) and (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned}\|L(|U_{l-1}|^{p-1}|\partial_i U_{l-1}|)\|_3 &\le C_1k^2\|U_{l-1}\|_3^{p-1}D_2(T)\|\partial_i U_{l-1}\|_3\\ &\le C_1k^2(2M_0\varepsilon^p)^pD_2(T).\end{aligned}\tag{4.20}$$

Summarizing (4.16), (4.17), (4.18), (4.19) and (4.20), we get from $D_2(T) \ge 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_i U_l\|_3 \le{}& M_0\varepsilon^p + 2^{p-1}pC_3k^2\{(2M_0)^{p-1}C_0\epsilon^{p^2-p+1}D_2(T)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\\ &+ 2M_0C_0^{p-1}\varepsilon^{2p-1}D_2(T)^{1/p} + (2M_0)^p\varepsilon^{p^2}D_2(T)\}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.21}$$

Therefore we obtain (4.15) provided (4.3) and (4.4).

Finally, we shall estimate the difference of $\partial_i U_l$. We obtain from (4.2)

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_i(U_{l+1}-U_l)\|_3 \le p\{&2^{p-1}\|L((|u^0|^{p-1}+|U_l|^{p-1})|\partial_i(U_l-U_{l-1})|)\|_3\\ &+\|L(|U_l-U_{l-1}|^{p-1}|\partial_i(u_0+U_{l-1})|)\|_3\}. \end{aligned}\tag{4.22}$$

We get from (3.6), (3.16) with $\nu=1$ and (3.25)

$$\begin{aligned}&\|L((|u^0|^{p-1}+|U_l|^{p-1})|\partial_i(U_l-U_{l-1})|)\|_3\\ \le& C_3k^2(\|u^0\|_2^{p-1}D_2(T)^{1/p}+\|U_l\|^{p-1}D_2(T))\|\partial_i(U_l-U_{l-1})\|_3\\ \le& C_3k^2\{(C_0\varepsilon)^{(p-1)}D_2(T)^{1/p}+(2M_0\varepsilon^p)^{p-1}D_2(T)\}\|\partial_i(U_l-U_{l-1})\|. \end{aligned}\tag{4.23}$$

It follows from (3.6), (3.16) with $\nu=p-1$ and (3.26) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|L(|U_l-U_{l-1}|^{p-1}|\partial_i(u^0+U_{l-1})|)\|_3\\ \le& C_3k^2\|U_l-U_{l-1}\|_3^{p-1}\{\|\partial_i u^0\|_2 D_2(T)^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}}+\|\partial_i U_{l-1}\|_3 D_2(T)\}\\ \le& C_3k^2\{C_0\varepsilon D_2(T)^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}}+2M_0\varepsilon^p D_2(T)\}\|U_l-U_{l-1}\|_3^{p-1}\\ \le& C_5\|U_l-U_{l-1}\|_3^{p-1}. \end{aligned}\tag{4.24}$$

Summarizing (4.22), (4.23), (4.24) (4.4) and (4.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_i(U_{l+1}-U_l)\|_3 &\le pC_5\|U_l-U_{l-1}\|_3^{p-1}+\frac{1}{2}\|\partial_i(U_l-U_{l-1})\|_3\\ &\le C_6 2^{-l(p-1)}+\frac{1}{2}\|\partial_i(U_l-U_{l-1})\|_3.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\|\partial_i(U_{l+1}-U_l)\|_3 \le C_7(l+1)2^{-l(p-1)} \quad \text{for} \quad l\ge 1. \tag{4.25}$$

(4.14) and (4.25) imply that the $\nabla_x^\alpha U_l$ for $|\alpha|\le 1$ converge uniformly for $l\to\infty$ towards functions $\nabla_x^\alpha U$, which are continuous in x, t, where U is a solution of (4.1).

We put

$$C\varepsilon_0^{p(p-1)}6^{\gamma(p,2)/2}\le 1.$$

For $0<\varepsilon\le\varepsilon_0$, if we assume that

$$C\varepsilon^{p(p-1)}\left(\frac{4T}{k}\right)^{\gamma(p,2)/2}\le 1,$$

then (4.3) holds. Hence, (1.11) in the case of $\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x)dx = 0$ is proved for $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$.

Next, we shall show the estimate for (1.11) in the case of $\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x)dx \neq 0$. Let Y be the linear space defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Y =&\{u(x,t) \ : \ \nabla_x^\alpha u(x,t) \in C(\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0,T]), \ \|\nabla_x^\alpha u\|_1 < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad |\alpha| \le 1, \\ &\text{supp } u \subset \{(x,t) : |x| \le t + k\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can verify that Y is complete with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_Y = \sum_{|\alpha| \le 1} \|\nabla_x^\alpha u\|_1.$$

We define the sequence of functions $\{u_l\}$ by

$$u_1 = u^0, \quad u_l = u^0 + L(|u_{l-1}|) \text{ for } l \ge 2.$$

Since Lemma 2.1 yields that $\|u^0\|_Y \le C_0'\varepsilon$ where

$$C_0' := \widetilde{C_0} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x)dx \right| + k^{-1}C_0,$$

we have that $u^0 \in Y$. We now assume that ε is so small that

$$2^p p C_1 k^2 (C_0'\varepsilon)^{p-1} D_1(T) \le 1. \tag{4.26}$$

Therefore, as in [7], we see that if (4.26) holds, then there exists a unique local solution of (2.6). By taking ε_0 small, the lower bound estimate (1.11) with $\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x)dx \neq 0$ follows immediately from (4.26).

References

[1] R.Agemi and H.Takamura, *The lifespan of classical solutions to nonlinear wave equations in two space dimensions*, Hokkaido Math. J., **21**(1992), 517-162.

[2] V.Georgiev, H.Lindblad and C.D.Sogge, *Weighted Strichartz estimates and global existence for semilinear wave equations*, Amer. J. Math., **119**(1997), 1291-1319.

[3] R.Glassey, *Finite-time blow-up for solutions of nonlinear wave equations*, Math. Z., **177**(1981), 323-340.

[4] R.Glassey, *Existence in the large for* $\Box u = f(u)$ *in two space dimensions*, Math. Z, **178**(1981), 233-261.

[5] L.Hörmander, "Lectures on Nonlinear Hyperbolic Differential Equations", Mathématiques & Applications 26, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1997.

[6] F.John, "Plane Waves and Spherical Means, Applied to Partial Differential Equations", Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1955.

[7] F.John, *Blow-up of solutions of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions*, Manuscripta Math., **28**(1979), 235-268.

[8] T.Kato, *Blow up of solutions of some nonlinear hyperbolic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math, **33**(1980), 501-505.

[9] S.Klainerman, *Global existence for nonlinear wave equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math, **33**(1980), 43-101.

[10] N.-A.Lai and Y.Zhou, *An elementary proof of Strauss conjecture*, J. Functional Analysis., **267**(2014), 1364-1381.

[11] H.Lindblad, *Blow-up for solutions of* $\Box u = |u|^p$ *with small initial data*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **15(6)**(1990), 757-821.

[12] H.Lindblad and C.D.Sogge, *Long-time existence for small amplitude semilinear wave equations*, Amer. J. Math., **118**(1996), 1047-1135.

[13] J.Schaeffer, *The equation* $u_{tt} - \Delta u = |u|^p$ *for the critical value of* p, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, **101A**(1985), 31-44.

[14] T.C.Sideris, *Nonexistence of global solutions to semilinear wave equations in high dimensions*, J. Differential Equations, **52**(1984), 378-406.

[15] W.A.Strauss, *Nonlinear scattering theory at low energy*, J. Funct. Anal., **41**(1981), 110-133.

[16] H.Takamura, *Improved Kato's lemma on ordinary differential inequality and its application to semilinear wave equations*, Nonlinear Analysis TMA **125** (2015), 227-240.

[17] H.Takamura and K.Wakasa, *The sharp upper bound of the lifespan of solutions to critical semilinear wave equations in high dimensions*, J. Differential Equations **251** (2011), 1157-1171.

[18] W.von Wahl, *L^p-decay rate for homogeneous wave equations*, Mat. Z., **120**(1971), 93-106.

[19] B.Yordanov and Q.S.Zhang, *Finite time blow up for critical wave equations in high dimensions*, J. Funct. Anal., **231**(2006), 361-374.

[20] Y.Zhou, *Life span of classical solutions to $u_{tt} - u_{xx} = |u|^{1+\alpha}$*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser.B, **13**(1992), 230-243.

[21] Y.Zhou, *Blow up of classical solutions to $\Box u = |u|^{1+\alpha}$ in three space dimensions*, J. Partial Differential Equations, **5**(1992), 21-32.

[22] Y.Zhou, *Life span of classical solutions to $\Box u = |u|^p$ in two space dimensions*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser.B, **14**(1993), 225-236.

[23] Y.Zhou, *Blow up of solutions to semilinear wave equations with critical exponent in high dimensions*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser.B, **28**(2007), 205-212.